A SNAPSHOT OF JUSTICE: CARVING OUT A SPACE FOR REVENGE PORN VICTIMS WITHIN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

“The moral of the story is, like, he doesn’t respect me, so if you can’t respect me, you have to respect the law.”

– Blac Chyna

I. INTRODUCTION

Anyone who keeps up with the Kardashians is likely familiar with revenge porn. After a hostile break up, Rob Kardashian posted nude photos of his ex-girlfriend Blac Chyna on his Instagram and Twitter accounts without her consent. Chyna had sent those photos to Rob Kardashian in confidence, within the context of their relationship. Seeking revenge, Rob Kardashian shared Chyna’s private photos on his public Instagram account with his over nine million Instagram followers. After he was blocked from Instagram, he posted
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3. Blac Chyna is referred to as Angela White throughout her court documents. See Complaint, supra note 2.

4. Id. ¶¶ 63–70, 198.


the pictures on his Twitter account. This incident demonstrates a common revenge porn narrative.

Revenge porn is the posting of an intimate picture of another person without their consent. According to a study conducted by the Cyber Civil Rights Initiative, approximately 12% of study participants had either been victims of revenge porn or been threatened with revenge porn. Despite the prevalence and publicity of revenge porn, there are many barriers to criminal prosecution, including ineffective or nonexistent criminal laws and unresponsive police departments. To eliminate these barriers, Congress has considered passing a federal law. On a more local level, states have worked to protect victims by creating or strengthening state criminal statutes.

Even with these developments, however, there will still be other barriers to successful prosecution. A key issue within criminal revenge porn cases is the way that victims are devalued and dismissed. Victims’ experiences must be legitimized in order to create an effective legal framework that takes this technological crime seriously. In Section II, I address these underlying barriers. In this Section, I also introduce the ideal victim theory, which identifies stereotypical characteristics that contribute to victim credibility. In Section III, I apply the ideal victim theory framework to revenge porn and argue that it is detrimental to victims and successful prosecution. I then propose a rule of evidence, akin to “rape shield” evidence rules, that aims to empower revenge porn victims by limiting the admissibility of victim-blaming evidence in a
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criminal proceeding, like showing the jury explicit photos of the victim.\textsuperscript{16} This rule endeavors to make the criminal justice process less emotionally harmful for victims who seek criminal recourse.

II. OVERVIEW

Some scholars reject the term revenge porn because the act is not limited to ex-partners seeking revenge.\textsuperscript{17} These critics fear that the term revenge porn excludes perpetrators like the Penn State fraternity brothers,\textsuperscript{18} and so they favor phrases like “nonconsensual pornography”\textsuperscript{19} or “nonconsensual image sharing.”\textsuperscript{20} The use of these terms reflects the importance of including other motives and narratives in both the conversation about and criminalization of revenge porn.\textsuperscript{21} Whether it is called revenge porn, nonconsensual pornography, or nonconsensual image sharing,\textsuperscript{22} the posting of others’ naked pictures on the internet without their consent is becoming more prevalent, and existing consequences are frequently inconsistent with the severe harm inflicted.\textsuperscript{23} I use the term revenge porn to refer to the action of publicly posting nonconsensual, sexually explicit images of a person, regardless of the poster’s motive. Although there are valid criticisms of the term, I use it because revenge porn is the term most commonly associated with this act.

In this Section, I first introduce revenge porn and its victims.\textsuperscript{24} I then outline the progress of efforts to criminalize revenge porn.\textsuperscript{25} Next, I explain how social factors influence victims’ reception within the criminal justice process.\textsuperscript{26} To
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\textsuperscript{23} See infra Part II.E.

\textsuperscript{24} See infra Parts II.A–D.

\textsuperscript{25} See infra Part II.E.
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unpack these social factors, I discuss the ideal victim theory\(^27\) and how it reflects the barriers that victims face when seeking justice.\(^28\)

A. Revenge Porn Victims

Victims of revenge porn are both celebrities and average people; they are people who engage in sex work, corporate business workers, teenagers, people of all genders, and people of all races.\(^29\) Perhaps the most surprising fact about victims of revenge porn is that not all victims have ever sent or even taken a nude photo.\(^30\) The single attribute that ties victims together is that someone has posted the victims’ private photos without their consent.

For example, Charlotte Laws, the mother of a revenge porn victim, began investigating Hunter Moore (a notorious purveyor of revenge porn via his website IsAnyoneUp.com) and his website after her daughter’s picture appeared on it.\(^31\) She spoke to a sample of women who appeared on Moore’s site and found that many of their photos were exposed by hackers, not exes.\(^32\) This is what happened to Laws’ daughter, Kayla, who took a topless photo of herself while practicing some model poses.\(^33\) She emailed the picture to herself from her phone; she planned to save it on her computer, but she never intended to share it with anyone.\(^34\) Even further abstracted from the traditional revenge porn narrative, some victims on Moore’s site had not taken naked pictures of themselves at all, but found their faces photoshopped onto other people’s bodies.\(^35\)

B. Revenge Porn Motivations

An ex-boyfriend sharing pictures of his ex-girlfriend is a common revenge porn narrative: a man feels slighted by his girlfriend or ex-girlfriend, and so he

\(^{27}\) See generally Nils Christie, The Ideal Victim (introducing the theory and discussing what characterizes an “ideal victim”), in FROM CRIME POLICY TO VICTIM POLICY 17 (Ezzat A. Fattah ed., 1986).

\(^{28}\) See infra Part II.F.

\(^{29}\) See LENHART ET AL., supra note 20, at 6.

\(^{30}\) See On the Media: Why One Mom’s Investigation Might Actually Stop Revenge Porn, WNYC (Dec. 6, 2013), http://www.wnyc.org/story/whylemominvestigation-might-actually-stop-revenge-porn?tab=transcript [http://perma.cc/BSU6-TZJ9] [hereinafter On the Media] (“You spoke to a random sample of women who’d been posted on the site over a two-week period, and you say that fewer than half, only 36 percent actually were victims of revenge porn, as we understand it, insofar as that an ex was taking revenge. Forty percent had been hacked, like your daughter. And 12 percent were actually Photoshopped. The people’s heads were being superimposed on other bodies. It wasn’t even them, and yet, they would be suffering the consequences, right?”).
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\(^{35}\) On the Media, supra note 30.
posts a sexual or revealing picture of her online.\textsuperscript{36} While many are familiar with this slighted lover narrative, it is by no means the only story.

Revenge porn photos are also used in the commission of sex crimes or abuse.\textsuperscript{37} In \textit{State v. Melia},\textsuperscript{38} the defendants were convicted of an extensive list of first-, second-, and third-degree sexual assaults, as well as other sex crimes.\textsuperscript{39} The revenge porn offense, third-degree invasion of privacy, was tacked on to the longer list of charges.\textsuperscript{40} In \textit{Patel v. Hussain},\textsuperscript{41} the defendant ex-boyfriend used technology, including posting the victim’s naked pictures on the internet, to extensively harass the victim.\textsuperscript{42} When committed in tandem with more traditional sex crimes like sexual assault, revenge porn perpetuates the violation by allowing the public to witness the crime, memorializing a traumatic experience in the victim’s life.

Sharing explicit photos of a sexual assault victim that depict the assault or the victim’s body just after an assault is another way in which perpetrators exert power over victims by controlling the narrative of the assault.\textsuperscript{43} In 2012 a group

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{36} See Franks, \textit{Guide for Legislators}, supa note 9, at 11–13. The story of Holly Jacobs, one of the founders of the Cyber Civil Rights Initiative, corresponds with this traditional narrative. See \textit{id.} at 13–14. Holly and her ex-boyfriend had exchanged intimate photos while they were dating. \textit{Id.} at 13. After she began a new relationship, she received an email threatening to distribute her private photos. \textit{Id.} Subsequently, her image was posted on over two hundred websites. \textit{Id.}
\item \textsuperscript{39} \textit{Melia}, 2014 WL 10186793, at *2–3.
\item \textsuperscript{41} 485 S.W.3d 153 (Tex. Ct. App. 2016).
\item \textsuperscript{42} \textit{Patel}, 485 S.W.3d at 164–69.
\item \textsuperscript{43} See Clare McGlynn et al., \textit{Beyond ‘Revenge Porn’: The Continuum of Image-Based Sexual Abuse}, 25 FEMINIST LEGAL STUD. 25, 37 (2017) (“One study found that “the most common type of rapist is one who is motivated by power and control.”” (quoting Gina Robertiello & Karen J. Terry, \textit{Can We Profile Sex Offenders? A Review of Sex Offender Typologies}, 12 AGGRESSION & VIOLENT}
\end{itemize}
\end{footnotesize}
of high school football players in Steubenville, Ohio, sexually assaulted an unconscious girl at a party and took explicit photos and videos of her. The football players distributed these photos among themselves, other classmates, and the general public, through texting and social media. This was a highly publicized case that drew national attention. The victim learned about her assault at the same time as her friends and strangers, piecing together what happened to her from invasive photos and online harassment. This story exemplifies how technology has added another facet to sex crimes, providing emboldened perpetrators with a new medium through which to share their sexual assaults and a new means through which to harm victims.

In addition to harassment, abuse, and violence, some posters claim to have less spiteful motives. In a survey conducted by the Cyber Civil Rights Initiative, 79% of 159 people who admitted to having distributed revenge porn indicated that they were just sharing the images with friends and did not intend to hurt anyone. In 2015, a member of Kappa Delta Rho fraternity at Penn State University exposed a Facebook page on which members of the fraternity posted pictures of “naked, unconscious women.” These pictures fall under the category of revenge porn but exemplify a motivation that diverges from the motivation that is present in the slighted lover narrative. While photos that are posted in order to harass align with the revenge framework, the fraternity brothers purportedly acted with a different motivation. One member of Kappa Delta Rho explained his motive:

There’s literally sites like that that millions of people access, whether it’s totalfratmove.com or any of the other thousands of sites that post, you know, pictures of girls and post funny text conversations and Snapchat stories and things like that. It was a satirical group. It wasn’t malicious whatsoever. It wasn’t intended to hurt anyone. It wasn’t intended to demean anyone. It was an entirely satirical group and it was funny to some extent.

Hunter Moore, the creator of one of the first revenge porn sites, IsAnyoneUp.com, exemplifies the range of motives behind posting and sharing pictures. Moore has accrued two infamous epithets related to his role promoting revenge porn: “King of Revenge Porn” and “the Most Hated Man on the Internet.” He claimed in one interview that his motivations were entertainment
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44. Macur & Schweber, supra note 37.
45. Id.
46. Id.
47. Id.
49. Kaplan, supra note 18.
50. Id. (explaining that these pictures were posted as a “joke,” not with malicious intent).
51. Id.
52. Id.
54. See Kristina Marusic, The ‘King of Revenge Porn’ Will Serve a Disgustingly Short Prison
and money, but he said in another interview, “Of course my main reasoning was to hurt people and hurt the girl, or girls, that did hurt me.”

Hunter Moore enjoyed profiting off of naked women and had no interest in stopping. In an interview, Anderson Cooper asked Moore for an explanation: “Just because there’s a lot of people who are jerks out there, doesn’t mean you have to be one of them too, and that doesn’t mean that you have to profit off of it.” Moore responded, “But I want to . . . . Why wouldn’t I? I get to look at naked girls all day.” He had no sympathy for the women that appeared on his site: “No one put a gun to your head and made you take those pictures . . . there’s one easy way to never end up on my website.”

The operator of revenge porn site UGotPosted.com, Kevin Bollaert, shared the same self-serving interest as Moore. Although Moore profited off of his victims by selling advertising on his website, Bollaert profited more directly from the people whose nonconsensual naked photos were on his website. Bollaert created a companion website, ChangeMyReputation.com, from which he contacted victims whose images were posted on UGotPosted.com and charged them a fee to have the photos removed. As the preceding examples demonstrate, motivations of those who post revenge porn go beyond revenge, ranging from insensitive jokes to overt maliciousness.

C. The Unique Nature of the Internet

While ubiquitous internet usage has created a platform for widespread harassment, sharing photos of naked women without their consent is not a new phenomenon. Starting in the 1980s, Hustler Magazine published a serial issue called “Beaver Hunt” and solicited reader-submitted pictures. Given this
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58. Id. at 1:04.
60. See Emily Poole, Fighting Back Against Non-Consensual Pornography, 49 U.S.F. L. REV. 181, 187–88 (2015) (noting that Bollaert was inspired by Moore to create his revenge porn websites).
61. Id.
62. Id.
63. Compare Kaplan, supra note 18 (detailing a fraternity member’s description of posts of unconscious women as satirical and “funny to some extent”), with Anderson Live, So You Admit What You’re Doing Is Not Right?, supra note 55 (exposing Moore’s maliciousness and motivation for profit).
64. See LENHART ET AL., supra note 20, at 4 (focusing on images that are posted online).
65. Poole, supra note 60, at 186.
opportunity, people sent in photos of naked women without consent. Although taking and publicizing images of women without their consent is not new, the internet has provided greater opportunities to do so.

This digital landscape has allowed revenge porn to proliferate. In a survey conducted by Cosmopolitan, 89% of respondents admitted to taking nude photos of themselves. This statistic demonstrates the accessibility of ammunition for revenge porn posters. According to a study by the Cyber Civil Rights Initiative, 4.8% of participants reported that they had someone threaten to post their explicit photos on the internet, and 8% of participants had someone post explicit or intimate images without their consent. Cyber harassment may have greater opportunity to injure victims than in-person harassment due to “(1) the veil of anonymity, (2) amplification, (3) permanence, and (4) virtual captivity and publicity.”

1. Anonymity

Revenge porn is committed behind a computer or phone screen, which allows perpetrators to hide behind anonymity and pseudonyms. Anonymity damages victims because they cannot pinpoint the source of their harassment. This can be disturbing and prevents them from seeking justice. If the content poster cannot be identified, then they cannot be held accountable.

66. Id.
67. Lucy Martin, Revenge Porn Crackdown Hampered by Victim Blaming, Inconsistent Laws, Experts Say, ABC NEWS (July 7, 2015, 1:01 AM), http://www.abc.net.au/news/2015-07-07/revenge-porn-crackdown-hampered-victim-blaming-inconsistent-laws/6601164 (Queensland criminologist Terry Goldsworthy, who was a police officer for almost 30 years, said there was nothing new about the idea of a scorned lover sharing explicit pictures of their ex in a bid for revenge. ‘Back then, it would have been slipping a Polaroid photo into someone’s letterbox or putting it up on a noticeboard at work,’ he said. ‘There just wasn’t an ability to get to such a wide audience and do it remotely and spread it so widely.’).
70. Eaton et al., supra note 10, at 11.
71. Roffer, supra note 68, at 950–51; see Mary Anne Franks, Unwilling Avatars: Idealism and Discrimination in Cyberspace, 20 COLUM. J. GENDER & L. 224, 255–56 (2011) [hereinafter Franks, Unwilling Avatars] (listing and defining the same terms).
72. Franks, Unwilling Avatars, supra note 71, at 255–56 (“Anonymity: The increased opportunity for harassers to attack their targets anonymously, making it difficult if not impossible for the targets to engage in self-help or legal remedies . . . .” (emphasis omitted)).
73. See id. at 229.
74. See id. at 255–56.
75. Id. at 259.
76. Id. at 255–56.
Additionally, the ease of internet anonymity undermines the deterrent effect that fear of criminal repercussion provides. Anonymousity also dehumanizes victims in the eyes of revenge porn posters. Moore, an unapologetic perpetrator of revenge porn, admitted that anonymity “makes it easier . . . . It is all anonymous, I don’t know these people.”

2. Amplification

Amplification is the phenomenon of sharing and reposting internet content, which keeps an image in circulation. An image or video that has “gone viral” is the epitome of the amplification effect. The continuous reposting causes victims of revenge porn to be repeatedly victimized. Amplification increases the number of people that see the victim’s pictures. Amplification also makes it more challenging to identify every place that the pictures exist online and find each reposter. Amplification not only increases harm but also hampers potential remedies because the victim cannot bring suit or press charges against an unknown poster. The difficulty in locating photos once they have been widely distributed contributes to the permanence of the online harassment.
3. Permanence

The immediate fossilization of images on the internet makes online actions particularly damaging. There are approximately three thousand websites that host revenge porn, and intimate images are also distributed via email, text messages, and other social media sites like Facebook and Instagram. Once an image is posted on the internet, it can be archived forever. People may have saved it to their hard drives, and other websites may have shared it. Additionally, absent repercussions, the original poster remains free to repost it.

Revenge porn victims can submit takedown requests to websites. Takedown notices can help; however, websites do not always comply. While Facebook, Instagram, and Twitter typically comply with takedown requests, revenge porn websites are less likely to comply. Host sites (websites that function as semipublic forums where individuals post content for the community) rarely comply with takedown notices. Some host sites were created exclusively for sharing revenge porn and have created such platforms without facing legal consequences.

The Communications Decency Act (CDA) hinders victims’ efforts to remove their photos. Congress implemented the CDA to balance the nature of the internet as a “competitive free market” with protecting consumers from “offensive material.” While this purpose of the CDA suggests that it could be a tool for compelling websites to take down revenge porn images, the CDA has been used to protect revenge porn posters rather than victims. Section 230(c)
of the CDA provides immunity for websites and service providers with offensive content on their platforms.\textsuperscript{101} Courts have interpreted this immunity to apply to “websites that host offensive content originating from third parties.”\textsuperscript{102} Courts have immunized revenge porn site hosts from liability for all posts that are not the site owner’s original content.\textsuperscript{103} Because hosts are not held liable for the images shared on their sites, they have no legal motivation to comply with takedown notices.\textsuperscript{104} Section 230(c) of the CDA can be and has been more narrowly interpreted as providing protection only to sites that do not intend to post offensive content, since the section is entitled “Protection for ‘Good Samaritan’ blocking and screening of offensive material.”\textsuperscript{105} But this provision is currently expansively applied, and as such it generally protects “user-generated content” on revenge porn sites despite the fact that revenge porn host sites solicit and encourage users to post offensive content.\textsuperscript{106}

4. Publicity

The publicity\textsuperscript{107} of revenge porn compounds the effects of the harassment because the widespread use of the internet provides the public with a platform to perpetuate the abuse.\textsuperscript{108} In 2014 a sixteen-year-old girl named Jada went to a

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{101} See \textit{id.} at 488.
  \item \textsuperscript{102} \textit{Id.} at 473; see also Nemet Chevrolet, Ltd. v. Consumeraffairs.com, Inc., 591 F.3d 250, 254 (4th Cir. 2009) (“[T]hese provisions bar state-law plaintiffs from holding interactive computer service providers legally responsible for information created and developed by third parties.”); Fair Hous. Council of San Fernando Valley v. Roommates.com, LLC, 521 F.3d 1157, 1162 (9th Cir. 2008) (“Section 230 of the CDA immunizes providers of interactive computer services against liability arising from content created by third parties.” (footnotes omitted)); Ben Ezra, Weinstein & Co. v. Am. Online Inc., 206 F.3d 980, 984–85 (10th Cir. 2000) (“47 U.S.C. § 230 creates a federal immunity to any state law cause of action that would hold computer service providers liable for information originating with a third party.”).
  \item \textsuperscript{103} See Jones v. Dirty World Entm’t Recordings LLC, 755 F.3d 398, 406 (6th Cir. 2014); S.C. v. Dirty World, LLC, No. 11–CV–00392–DW, 2012 WL 3335284, at *3 (W.D. Mo. Mar. 12, 2012); see also Cannon, supra note 96, at 479–89.
  \item \textsuperscript{104} See Cannon, supra note 96, at 479–83.
  \item \textsuperscript{105} \textit{Id.} at 491; see also 47 U.S.C. § 230(c) (2018).
  \item \textsuperscript{106} Cannon, supra note 96, at 490–91 (“When interpreting the language of § 230 and the definitions provided by Congress within the CDA, Congress’ intent to provide immunity for websites that unwillingly post actionable content becomes clearer, while an intent to provide a blanket protection for all websites that rely upon user-generated content, regardless of a website’s platform, purpose, or response to knowledge that material is offensive or tortious, becomes less and less reasonable.”).
  \item \textsuperscript{107} Franks, \textit{Unwilling Avatars}, supra note 71, at 255–56 (“Virtual Captivity/Publicity: The options to avoid or exit situations in which cyber harassment occurs are extremely limited. Whereas specific acts of real-life harassment are often restricted to one place (for example, being harassed on the street does not necessarily impact one’s experience in the workplace), the effects of cyberspace harassment can manifest much more readily. Particularly if the online attack is indexable by a major search engine like Google, it is accessible to almost anyone (the target’s co-workers, fellow students, clients, children) almost anywhere (at her place of work, her school, her home, her doctor’s office).” (emphasis omitted) (footnote omitted)).
  \item \textsuperscript{108} See Roffer, supra note 68, at 936–37.
\end{itemize}
party where she was drugged and raped. She did not know what happened to her until weeks later when she began receiving pictures of herself from that night via text message. In the pictures she was naked and unconscious. The pictures were then shared on social media, and Twitter users began sharing pictures of themselves, mimicking her position in the unconscious naked picture, with the hashtag #jadapose. In addition to a sexual assault and invasion of her privacy through revenge porn, Jada faced continued harassment from peers and strangers mocking her violation.

D. Effects on Victims

Revenge porn has devastating effects on victims. Victims often experience depression, and some have committed suicide. In a survey conducted by the Cyber Civil Rights Initiative, 93% of victims indicated that they suffered significant emotional distress. In Doe v. Hofstetter, the defendant created a fake Twitter account using the victim’s persona and distributed pictures of her using this account. The victim was able to recover for the tort of intentional infliction of emotional distress. In this instance, the court legitimized the severity of the effects of this crime. Other victims have not had as much success recovering under this tort theory. But victims are suffering emotionally, and some courts have recognized this suffering by allowing civil remedies in actions for intentional infliction of emotional distress.

The effects of revenge porn on victims transcend the digital world. In addition to posting intimate photos, posters often provide identifying information, including names, contact information, addresses, and workplace

110. Id.
111. Id.
112. Id.
113. Id.
114. FRANKS, GUIDE FOR LEGISLATORS, supra note 9, at 2, 11, 13 (finding that “30% [of victims] said they have been harassed or stalked outside of the Internet (in person, over the phone) by users that have seen the material online . . . [and] 51% have had suicidal thoughts due to being a victim”); see also Burleigh, supra note 37.
115. FRANKS, GUIDE FOR LEGISLATORS, supra note 9, at 11.
118. Id. at *7.
119. See id.
120. See, e.g., Patel v. Hussain, 485 S.W.3d 153, 177 (Tex. Ct. App. 2016) (“Neither on appeal nor at trial has Nadia identified evidence that would enable recovery under a theory of [intentional infliction of emotional distress] independent of her other claims.”).
contacts.122 Others then use this information to threaten victims with sexual violence as well as to harass and stalk victims.123 For example, in United States v. Sayer,124 an ex-boyfriend created an online advertisement on Craigslist using pictures of the victim in lingerie.125 In addition to pictures, the page included a list of sexual acts, said that the woman was willing to perform them, and provided directions to her house.126 For eight months she received unwanted visits from strange men looking for sex before she changed her name and moved.127 Victims are also fired from their jobs or forced to leave their schools.128 It is a common practice for employers to do internet searches on prospective employees, and intimate photos with identifying information are likely to appear on such searches.129 As one leading scholar on revenge porn explained, revenge porn is “an easy way to make people unemployable, undatable and potentially at physical risk.”130

E. The Criminalization of Revenge Porn

The criminalization of revenge porn began relatively recently. In 2004, New Jersey became the first state to pass a criminal revenge porn statute, criminalizing the act of posting an intimate picture of another person without their consent.131 Although New Jersey’s statute was intended to protect against cyberbullying more generally, it has been used to prosecute perpetrators of revenge porn.132 California was the next state to create legislation that provides criminal recourse for revenge porn.133 In 2013 California became the first state to create criminal legislation specifically targeted to combat revenge porn.134 Since

122. FRANKS, GUIDE FOR LEGISLATORS, supra note 9, at 11.
123. Id. at 2.
124. 748 F.3d 425 (1st Cir. 2014).
125. Sayer, 748 F.3d at 428.
126. Id.
127. Id.
128. FRANKS, GUIDE FOR LEGISLATORS, supra note 9, at 2, 12 (finding that “8% [of victims] quit their job or dropped out of school[,] . . . 6% were fired from their job or kicked out of school[, and] . . . 13% have had difficulty getting a job or getting into school”); see, e.g., State v. Parsons, No. 10-06-01372, 2011 WL 6089210, at *1 (N.J. Super. Ct. App. Div. Dec. 8, 2011) (detailing how the victim’s ex-boyfriend sent the victim’s naked pictures to the school where she worked).
130. Id. (quoting University of Maryland law professor Danielle Citron).
then, legislatures in forty-three states and the District of Columbia have enacted criminal revenge porn laws.\textsuperscript{135}

Despite increased state criminalization of revenge porn, the federal CDA shields site owners like Hunter Moore of IsAnyoneUp.com from liability for content posted on their sites.\textsuperscript{136} Thus site owners face no criminal repercussions, regardless of how effective a state criminal revenge porn statute may otherwise be because the CDA preempts state law.\textsuperscript{137} Although the pioneer revenge porn website IsAnyoneUp.com has been taken down and its king has been dethroned,\textsuperscript{138} neither dismantling was the consequence of revenge porn laws.\textsuperscript{139} Rather, after appearing on Anderson Cooper, Moore’s website began to receive an unfilterable amount of child pornography, leading Moore to sell the domain name.\textsuperscript{140} Although Moore was ultimately incarcerated, it was not based on revenge porn laws, nor was it due to posting revenge porn images.\textsuperscript{141} The federal government prosecuted Moore for hacking under the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act.\textsuperscript{142} He pled guilty to aggravated identity theft and unauthorized access to a protected computer to obtain information.\textsuperscript{143}

Ideally, any criminal statutory framework would utilize the most expansive definition of revenge porn: “[t]he disclosure of sexually explicit images without consent and for no legitimate purpose.”\textsuperscript{144} The current inconsistent and piecemeal state framework does not adequately protect revenge porn victims because “[w]hile some existing voyeurism, surveillance, and computer hacking laws prohibit the nonconsensual observation and recording of individuals in states of undress or engaged in sexual activity, the nonconsensual disclosure of intimate images has been largely unregulated by the law.”\textsuperscript{145} This lack of clear

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{136} 47 U.S.C. § 230(c) (2018). See supra Part II.C.3 for an explanation of the CDA.
\item \textsuperscript{137} See Vora, supra note 80, at 241; see also 47 U.S.C. § 230(e)(3) (“No cause of action may be brought and no liability may be imposed under any State or local law that is inconsistent with [the CDA].”).
\item \textsuperscript{138} Moore has been called the “King of Revenge Porn” and the “Most Hated Man on the Internet.” Marusic, supra note 54.
\item \textsuperscript{140} See Dr. Drew, supra note 56, at 1:50.
\item \textsuperscript{142} Id. Moore was indicted on charges of conspiracy, unauthorized access to a protected computer to obtain information, identity theft, and aiding and abetting. Id.
\item \textsuperscript{143} Most Hated Man on the Internet, supra note 139. Similarly, Kevin Bollaert, operator of UGotPosted.com and ChangeMyReputation.com, was incarcerated based on convictions for extortion and identity theft. People v. Bollaert, 248 Cal. App. 4th 699, 704 (2016).
\item \textsuperscript{144} Frankas, Guide for Legislators, supra note 9, at 2.
\item \textsuperscript{145} Id. at 5.
protection for victims of revenge porn has led to a call for a federal criminal law. 146

A federal law criminalizing revenge porn is in the works. 147 In 2016, U.S. Representative Jackie Speier introduced a bill intending to criminalize revenge porn on a federal level. 148 This bill was titled the Intimate Privacy Protection Act of 2016. 149 This bill was reworked and transformed into the Ending Nonconsensual Online User Graphic Harassment Act of 2017, or the ENOUGH Act, which Senators Kamala Harris, Richard Burr, and Amy Klobuchar introduced to the Senate on November 28, 2017. 150 The ENOUGH Act has been referred to the Senate Judiciary Committee. 151

The ENOUGH Act would criminalize the “knowing[] distribution[] of a private visual depiction of an individual’s intimate parts” without the individual’s consent. 152 Making revenge porn a federal crime would protect it from limitation by the CDA and provide victims with protection that state statutes cannot match. 153 Although the CDA supersedes state statutes, it explicitly stipulates that it must not be interpreted to limit other federal laws, and therefore a federal revenge porn statute would not be limited by immunities provided under the CDA. 154

F. Barriers to Prosecution: Believability Politics and the Ideal Victim Theory

There are a variety of factors that influence whether a victim is found credible enough for officials to pursue prosecution. These factors include the victim’s race, age, relationship to the perpetrator, activity at the time of the offense, use of drugs or alcohol, and criminal record. 155 Consideration of these

---
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149. Id.


151. S. 2162.

152. Id.

153. Layla Goldnick, Comment, Coddling the Internet: How the CDA Exacerbates the Proliferation of Revenge Porn and Prevents a Meaningful Remedy for Its Victims, 21 CARDOZO J. L. & GENDER 583, 625 (2015) (“It must be noted that Section (e)(1) of the CDA explicitly states that nothing in the CDA should be interpreted [to] impair any ‘federal criminal statute.’”).


155. Rose Corrigan & Corey S. Shdaimah, People with Secrets: Contesting, Constructing, and Resisting Women’s Claims About Sexualized Victimization, 65 CATH. U. L. REV. 429, 431 (2016) (“Researchers have long contended that variation in how women affected by sexual offenses are treated reflects the importance placed on the complainant’s sociodemographic characteristics (such as race, age, or relationship to the assailant) and moral qualities (including whether she was engaged in...”
factors contributes to “believability.” 156 The ideal victim theory is a framework for discussing how believability politics arise in the context of victimhood.

The ideal victim theory is a framework created by Nils Christie that defines barriers victims face in having society and the legal system legitimize their victim status. 157 This framework presents six attributes that characterize the ideal victim: the ideal victim is

1. “weak,” which can be someone who is “[s]ick, old, or very young,” or in the context of sexual assault can be defined as innocent;
2. “carrying out a respectable project” when victimized;
3. present somewhere that the victim could not be blamed for being;
4. victimized by an offender who is “big and bad”;  
5. victimized by an offender who is unknown to the victim and has no personal relationship to the victim; and
6. powerful enough to make their case known. 158

This theoretical lens is a useful tool for understanding criminal prosecutions generally, and it has been especially useful when applied to prosecutions for sexual assault, a crime that often hinges on the credibility of the victim-witness.159 Based on these attributes, the “ideal rape victim” is a virgin, on the way home from visiting sick relatives, who put up a fight while she was beaten and threatened.160 Although I specifically apply these attributes to revenge porn victims in Section III, here I discuss how race fits into the ideal victim

non-gender normative behavior at the time of the offense, used drugs/alcohol, or had previous arrests.“).”


158. See id. at 19–21. While Christie does not use the term innocence in his construction of the ideal victim attributes, he provides an example of his factors with respect to a rape victim and parallels the “weak” attribute with “the young virgin.” Id.

159. See, e.g., Tyler J. Buller, Fighting Rape Culture with Noncorroboration Instructions, 53 TULSA L. REV. 1, 12 (2017) (noting barriers, premised on ideal victim theory, that sexual assault victims face at trial, such as corroboration requirements for victim-witness testimony or jury instructions suggesting sexual assault victims might not be credible witnesses).

160. Christie, supra note 27, at 19; see also JESSICA VALENTI, THE PURITY MYTH 14 (2010) (“In 2007, Republican South Dakota representative Bill Napoli described his support for a ban on abortion that allowed no exceptions for rape or incest by relaying a (quite vivid) scenario to a reporter. He explained under what circumstances the procedure might be warranted: ‘A real-life description to me would be a rape victim, brutally raped, savaged. The girl was a virgin. She was religious. She planned on saving her virginity until she was married. She was brutalized and raped, sodomized as bad as you can possibly make it, and is impregnated.’”); Hayley Krischer, We’re Going To Need More Gabrielle Union, N.Y. TIMES (Dec. 5, 2017), http://nyti.ms/2nzIeZI [http://perma.cc/3Q8W-KTDW] (“[Gabrielle Union] calls herself a ‘perfect victim’: not just because of her current celebrity status, but also because she was raped at work, because it was caught on surveillance and the police were called—but also because the majority of sexual assaults aren’t reported to the police, according [to] the Rape, Abuse and Incest National Network.”).
framework, and I discuss the power dynamic between victims and perpetrators.

1. Race, Innocence, and Ideal Victim Status

“I think the floodgates have opened for white women . . . . I don’t think it’s a coincidence whose pain has been taken seriously. Whose pain we have showed historically and continued to show. Whose pain is tolerable and whose pain is intolerable. And whose pain needs to be addressed now.”

– Gabrielle Union

Race is a relevant factor in obtaining a legitimated victim status. Stereotypes about black women influence societal perception of their innocence, such that women of color have additional obstacles to fulfilling the “innocent” requirement of the ideal victim theory. The hypersexualization of black women’s bodies is a systemic stereotype that is reflected in “society’s” attribution of sex as part of the ‘natural’ role of Black women and girls. These stereotypes inform how black women and girls are perceived today, as “these stereotypes underlie the implicit bias that shapes many [adults’] view[s] of Black female[s] . . . as sexually promis cuous, hedonistic, and in need of socialization.”

The dismissal of women of color as victims is exemplified by the sexual harassment allegations against Harvey Weinstein. Discussing public response to these allegations, Jane Fonda said, “It’s too bad that it’s probably because so many of the women that were assaulted by Harvey Weinstein are famous and white and everybody knows them.” The acceptance of Weinstein’s victims contrasts with how women of color are received when they come forward. Fonda pointed out this conflict: “This has been going on a long time to black women and other women of color and it doesn’t get out quite the same.” Amid sexual assault and harassment claims from more than eighty people,

161. See infra Part II.F.1.
162. See infra Part II.F.2.
163. Krischer, supra note 160.
165. Id. (quoting another source).
168. Id.
169. Id.
170. Sara M Moniuszko & Cara Kelly, Harvey Weinstein Scandal: A Complete List of the 87
Weinstein only individually disputed the accounts of Salma Hayek and Lupita Nyong’o, two of the few women of color that have come forward against him.\textsuperscript{171}

\textit{Time} magazine demonstrated Fonda’s point when it released its 2017 Person of the Year issue, \textit{The Silence Breakers}, honoring those who spoke out about being victims of sexual assault or harassment.\textsuperscript{172} In the midst of Harvey Weinstein’s victims speaking out against him, white actress Alyssa Milano initiated a Twitter campaign using the hashtag #MeToo.\textsuperscript{173} She encouraged victims of sexual assault and harassment to share that they have experienced harassment to “give people a sense of the magnitude of the problem.”\textsuperscript{174} Within a day, Milano’s tweet received more than thirty thousand replies.\textsuperscript{175} But Tarana Burke, a black woman, originated the “Me Too” movement to support young women of color that she worked with who were victims of sexual abuse and assault.\textsuperscript{176} Despite her pivotal role in inspiring this movement, which began “as a response to anti-Black and racial discrimination as well as sexual harassment and violence,”\textsuperscript{177} \textit{Time} did not include Burke on its cover.\textsuperscript{178} The selection of which voices are highlighted, praising white activists while ignoring women of color that laid the foundation, exemplifies the way that women of color are overlooked when they come forward to assert their victimhood.
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\textsuperscript{174} Milano, supra note 173.

\textsuperscript{175} Zacharek et al., supra note 172.


\textsuperscript{177} Murray, supra note 172.

\textsuperscript{178} \textit{Id.} (“Would Black actresses have been believed if they came out in droves in the same fashion? History tells us, definitively not. Even more the reason to have someone like Tarana Burke on the cover. Not only is she a survivor herself, but for the people who aren’t white or famous, she is in the community listening.”).
2. Power Double Standards

Acceptance as a victim, according to the ideal victim framework, requires a delicate balance of the victim’s power and agency.\(^\text{179}\) In order to claim victim status, the victim must be in a position such that they “are powerful enough to make [their] case known and successfully claim the status of an ideal victim. Or alternatively, that [they] are not opposed by so strong counter-powers that [they] can not [sic] be heard.”\(^\text{180}\) Success requires enough power to be listened to but not so much that it undermines the victim’s “weakness.”\(^\text{181}\) The ideal victim must have a voice but not one that is so loud as to make them unsympathetic in the public eye.\(^\text{182}\) Domestic violence victims experience the danger of this contradiction, providing a clear example of how these power dynamics function. In the past, domestic violence victims were unable to come forward, due to the social stigma of domestic violence and legal restrictions that related to marital status.\(^\text{183}\) A slight shift in social norms has given domestic violence victims more independence and agency than in the past when domestic violence was not even considered a crime.\(^\text{184}\) Now domestic violence victims might have enough power to come forward; however, obtaining this increase in power lost domestic violence victims some of their socially perceived weakness, such that an outsider might question why a victim would not just leave their abusive partner.\(^\text{185}\)

When victims use their power and their voice to speak out against their perpetrators, they are less likely to be believed if the perpetrator is powerful.\(^\text{186}\) A victim is more likely to be believed if the perpetrator fits harasser stereotypes.\(^\text{187}\) People are hesitant to accept that their friends or celebrities they idolize are harassers, abusers, and rapists.\(^\text{188}\) Many want to believe that perpetrators of harassment are creepy strangers.\(^\text{189}\) One of the reasons that Harvey Weinstein’s victims’ victimhood status has been heralded as a cultural shift is that these women have accused a famous and powerful man of sexual

\(^{179}\) Christie, supra note 27, at 21.

\(^{180}\) Id.

\(^{181}\) Id.

\(^{182}\) Id.

\(^{183}\) Id.

\(^{184}\) See id. See also infra notes 226–29 for a discussion of the move towards criminalizing domestic violence in the mid-1970s.

\(^{185}\) Christie, supra note 27, at 21 (“Females can protect themselves by leaving. Why not then just leave if violence seems to come up?”).

\(^{186}\) See id. (discussing a strong perpetrator overcoming the victim’s ability to be heard).

\(^{187}\) See id. at 25–26.

\(^{188}\) See id.

harassment, and despite his power and influence, they have been believed. One explanation for why women who accused Weinstein have been believed now is that each of these women has become powerful enough that her voice is respected; these women’s celebrity status has given them a level of independent power so that they are listened to. These women have been able to overcome the power catch-22 because they are now powerful enough to be heard, and they were powerless enough when the harassment occurred that their claims of victimhood are believed. They are able to simultaneously wield their current power and demonstrate their past weakness.

G. Unsympathetic Police and Prosecutors

Ideal victimhood affects how police and prosecutors do their jobs. In sexual assault cases, police have “categorize[d] as unfounded allegations involving complainants who engaged in risky behavior at the time of the incident, complainants who were unwilling to cooperate in the prosecution of the suspect, complainants who delayed reporting, or complainants whose allegations were inconsistent or contradictory.” Issues of credibility pervade each stage of the reporting and criminal justice processes. Both police officers and prosecutors are concerned with “downstream orientation,” which is anticipation of how other institutional actors will respond to a case. The ultimate concern is whether a


192. Vagianos, supra note 167.


194. Cassia Spohn et al., Unfounding Sexual Assault: Examining the Decision To Unfound and Identifying False Reports, 48 L. & SOC’Y REV. 161, 164 (2014). “[U]nfounding will be less likely if the crime is serious, the victim and suspect are strangers, the victim was injured, the victim’s allegations are consistent with detectives’ ‘typifications of rape scenarios’, and the victim is viewed as credible and without ulterior motives for making allegations against the suspect.” Id. at 168 (citation omitted).

195. See id. at 164.

196. Lisa Frohmann, Convictability and Discordant Locales: Reproducing Race, Class, and Gender Ideologies in Prosecutorial Decisionmaking, 31 L. & SOC’Y REV. 531, 535 (1997); see also Spohn et al., supra note 194, at 188 (applying “downstream orientation” to police officers).
jury will convict. In considering the likelihood of conviction, “[f]irst, prosecutors anticipate defense arguments to assess whether they can construct a credible account of the incident for the jury. Second, prosecutors invoke anticipated jurors’ interpretations of case ‘facts’ as the standard of convictability.” Juries are expected to perceive a victim through an ideal victim theory lens, imparting stereotypes about victimhood to determine the validity of a claim and credibility of a victim-witness.

Sometimes victims of revenge porn are simply not prioritized; police refuse to use resources to obtain warrants to search perpetrators’ computers for what will amount to only misdemeanor offenses. A prosecutor in California noted that it is difficult to justify seeking a warrant to search for information on a suspect’s computer in order to substantiate a charge that is a misdemeanor.

One woman named Holly Jacobs faced this issue. Charges against her ex-boyfriend were dismissed because of the prosecutor’s refusal to seek a warrant for a misdemeanor case. A warrant to search a perpetrator’s computer is necessary to refute a common defense in cybercrimes cases where the accused claims that they were hacked and someone else actually committed the offense. Due to the case’s misdemeanor status, “Jacobs’s case apparently was not serious enough for the police to obtain a warrant to search a defendant’s computer or home.”

In addition to the difficulty of finding sympathetic police officers and prosecutors, victims also face uninformed authorities. Victims encounter
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198. Id. at 536.
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201. Id. While in many states revenge porn is criminalized as a felony, the logic remains that officers do not want to utilize resources on an individual case, particularly in light of the reception revenge porn victims receive from police. See 43 States + DC Now Have Revenge Porn Laws, supra note 135.
203. Id.
204. Id.
205. Id.; see also Joseph J. Pangaro, Comment, Hell Hath No Fury: Why First Amendment Scrutiny Has Led to Ineffective Revenge Porn Laws, and How To Change the Analytical Argument To Overcome This Issue, 88 TEMPLE L. REV. 185, 211 (2015) (“Prosecutors traced the leak of [a victim’s] intimate media to the IP address of [her] ex-boyfriend. But then the charges were dismissed. The prosecutors could not search the ex-boyfriend’s computer without a warrant, and they could not justify seeking a warrant for a misdemeanor.” (footnotes omitted)).
206. See Roffer, supra note 68, at 947.
police officers who are not aware of revenge porn laws.207 Because of their limited knowledge of revenge porn laws, police turn away victims who could have legally cognizable claims.208 On an even more basic level, victims encounter police officers who do not understand the technologies that are used to perpetrate revenge porn.209 Journalist Amanda Hess struggled while reporting threats against her to police because the responding officer was completely unaware of Twitter.210 Similarly, writer Anna Merlan experienced difficulty reporting harassment against her because police had not heard of the website 4chan.211 Police officers who are not technologically savvy present an additional barrier to victims reporting revenge porn, a crime that more and more occurs through use of technology.212

Even more egregious than the uninformed or uncaring police officer, some police officers use their authority to obtain intimate images and participate in revenge porn.213 In California, officer Sean Harrington confessed to stealing explicit photos from the cellphone of a woman he arrested for driving under the influence.214 He sent the photos to himself from her phone and also forwarded the images to at least two of his coworkers.215 In his confession, Harrington

---

207. Id. (“Further, when victims try to report crimes, police officers frequently do not recognize a harm and tell victims there is nothing they can do. By not taking this harm seriously, law enforcement inhibits victims from coming forward after they discover their images on the Internet.” (footnote omitted)).
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210. Amanda Hess, Why Women Aren’t Welcome on the Internet, PAC. STANDARD (Jan. 6, 2014), http://psmag.com/social-justice/women-arent-welcome-internet-72170 [http://perma.cc/X3RD-CQZQ] (“Two hours later, a Palm Springs police officer lumbered up the steps to my hotel room, paused on the outdoor threshold, and began questioning me in a steady clip. I wheeled through the relevant background information: I am a journalist; I live in Los Angeles; sometimes, people don’t like what I write about women, relationships, or sexuality; this was not the first time that someone had responded to my work by threatening to rape and kill me. The cop anchored his hands on his belt, looked me in the eye, and said, ‘What is Twitter?’”).


212. See Roffer, supra note 68, at 989 (“Although it will be more difficult in smaller precincts, there should be dedicated cyber harassment units or at least officers who are familiar with the harms of revenge porn, the use of technology, and the status of the state’s laws. Since technology is changing, there should be frequent mandatory training for these officers.”).


214. Id. (“In a typical DUI arrest, there’s really no reason for a cop to be going through the suspect’s phone. Evidence of drunk driving is usually contained within the arrestees themselves, not their phones. At best, any time a cop does this, it’s a fishing expedition for bigger charges. At worst, it’s Harrington and his complicit bro cops, passing around nudie pics just because they can. Access and ability are the worst enablers.”).

215. Id.
referred to taking intimate images from arrestees’ phones as a game. He explained that officers in his precinct routinely sent around intimate pictures from female arrestees. In another instance in California, a female police officer had an affair with her fellow officer, Los Angeles Police Department officer Danny Reedy. When she ended the relationship he sent her intimate photos to other officers. Police misconduct through privacy violations demonstrates to victims that police will not be sympathetic to their victimizations, discouraging reporting of revenge porn.

III. DISCUSSION

Although the ideal victim theory was formulated before revenge porn existed, it can be used to understand how revenge porn victims are blamed for their own victimization and are disbelieved. Six attributes that define the ideal victim can be construed to apply to revenge porn. The six attributes of an ideal victim describe a victim who is

1. “weak,” which can be someone who is “[s]ick, old, or very young,” or in the context of sexual assault can be defined as innocent;
2. “carrying out a respectable project” when victimized;
3. present somewhere that the victim could not be blamed for being;
4. victimized by an offender who is “big and bad”;
5. victimized by an offender who is unknown to the victim and has no personal relationship to the victim; and
6. powerful enough to make their case known.

In order to more directly apply these attributes to revenge porn, I reformulate them to apply specifically to issues within revenge porn; the attributes can be recharacterized as six questions:

1. Is the victim innocent and pure?
2. Did the victim take naked pictures of themself?
3. Did the victim share naked pictures online?
4. Was the perpetrator someone “big and bad”?

216. Id.
219. Id.
220. Cf. Roffer, supra note 68, at 947 (“Further, when victims try to report crimes, police officers frequently do not recognize a harm and tell victims there is nothing they can do. By not taking this harm seriously, law enforcement inhibits victims from coming forward after they discover their images on the Internet.” (footnote omitted)).
221. See Christie, supra note 27, at 19–21.
5. Was the perpetrator someone they knew or dated?
6. Does the victim have enough power to be heard?

The ideal victim theory is a useful tool to conceptualize the ways in which revenge porn victims are criticized by prosecutors, police officers, and society. In order to protect revenge porn victims from continued criticism, the ideal victim framework must be addressed before a jury deliberates. The first step toward responding to this framework is to understand it. In this Comment, I unpack who the “ideal” revenge porn victim is and the ways in which reality is inconsistent with this ideal. I then propose a rule of evidence for revenge porn prosecutions. This rule is meant to mitigate jurors’ victim-blaming biases by excluding evidence that seeks to reinforce the ideal victim narrative. By excluding these attributes, the rule prevents them from contributing to the credibility determination of the victim.

A. Why Does the “Ideal Victim” Matter?

“Imagine a world where moral turpitude for women was based on our making decisions for ourselves—not on our bodies, our sexuality, our skin color, or the number of sexual partners we’ve had. Imagine a world where women had nothing to be ashamed of.”

– Jessica Valenti

Historically, women were viewed as property. A woman belonged to her father until she was married, and then she belonged to her husband. Rape was thought of as a crime against the man that the woman “belonged” to, rather than as a crime against her bodily autonomy and safety. In the United States it was not a crime for a man to sexually assault his wife until the mid-1970s. The belief that a woman’s chastity and virginity are valuable dates back to the days of

222. See Regina A. Schuller et al., Judgments of Sexual Assault: The Impact of Complainant Emotional Demeanor, Gender, and Victim Stereotypes, 13 NEW CRIM. L. REV. 759, 763 (2010) (“[R]esearchers have consistently demonstrated that lay people (hence, jurors) will question the validity of a sexual assault, judge the victim with greater skepticism, and blame her more if the context of the assault and/or the characteristics and actions of the victim do not fit with their expectations.” (footnotes omitted)).
223. See infra Part III.B.
224. See infra Part III.C.
225. VALENTI, supra note 160, at 201.
227. Id.; see also Lalanya Weintrab Siegel, The Marital Rape Exemption: Evolution to Extinction, 43 CLEV. ST. L. REV. 351, 356 (1995) (“Since women were regarded as property, the common law treated rape not as a crime against women, but rather as a violation of a man’s property interest. The rape laws were concerned with protecting a husband’s property interest in his wife’s fidelity, and a father’s interest in his daughter’s virginity.” (footnote omitted)).
228. Smith, supra note 226, at 448–49.
dowries, when a father exchanged his daughter for other “goods.” Viewing a victim’s legitimacy as contingent on her innocence harkens back to these antiquated views that value women based on their virginity and chastity. In order for victims of revenge porn to receive justice, society and law enforcement must stop perceiving victims through the ideal victim lens.

A necessary endeavor in supporting victims of revenge porn is to foster a society that believes revenge porn victims when they come forward. In order to believe victims, we need to deconstruct societal conceptions about who is worthy of being believed. This means breaking down the factors that contribute to society believing in certain categories of victims in order to demonstrate how arbitrary these “ideal victim” attributes are. As part of this endeavor, it is vital to recognize that the ideal victim is defined by systemic racism and sexism. Acknowledging this is integral to moving beyond the ideal victim framework to allow all victims to claim their victimhood.

Validating victims’ status as victims is the first step toward prosecuting the crimes against them.

B. The “Ideal” Revenge Porn Victim

The ideal victim theory provides an analytic device to understand how victims are treated and breaks down the rationale behind why some victims are believed and supported whereas others are dismissed. The ideal victim theory is therefore a useful tool to understand the barriers to being accepted as a victim; it is useful in that it identifies a toxic social narrative that disempowers individuals on the basis of race, sex, and class. The dismissal of revenge porn

230. See VALENTI, supra note 160, at 23–24.
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234. See VALENTI, supra note 160, at 30. The categorization of the ideal victim requires the same attributes that make up what Jessica Valenti describes as the fetishized conception of purity. Id. at 44. She describes the feminine ideal as the “desirable virgin”: “The desirable virgin is sexy but not sexual. She’s young, white, and skinny. She’s a cheerleader, a baby sitter; she’s accessible and eager to please (remember those ethics of passivity!). She’s never a woman of color. She’s never a low-income girl or a fat girl. She’s never disabled.” Id. at 30; see also Frohmann, supra note 196, at 553–54 (“Micro-level interpretive practices that may not appear to have race-, class-, or gender-biased intentions nevertheless contribute to the institutionalization of these biases. Prosecutorial accounts can unintentionally perpetuate historical social relations by contextualizing prosecution decisions in cultural representations of places and people.”).
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victims is often informed by the social coding of victims as not fulfilling requirements of the ideal victim.\textsuperscript{239}

1. Innocent and Pure

The ideal victim is presented as a model of purity and innocence.\textsuperscript{240} This is reflected in Christie’s depiction of the ideal rape victim as a virgin.\textsuperscript{241} Revenge porn victims are disadvantaged here because they are unable to fulfill the virginal ideal. By taking naked pictures of themselves, they automatically lose all coding of “virginal innocence,” regardless of why these pictures were taken.\textsuperscript{242} In addition to the inherent tension between the idea of virginal purity and revenge porn, marginalized groups experience additional barriers to being perceived as innocent victims.\textsuperscript{243} These barriers stem from society’s view of the “perfect virgin” as someone who is “young, good-looking, straight, and white.”\textsuperscript{244}

When people of color are victims of revenge porn, they face additional barriers to having their victim status recognized.\textsuperscript{245} This is not unique to revenge porn, as resistance to accepting the victimhood of women of color has occurred in the context of other forms of harassment as well.\textsuperscript{246} By responding specifically to Lupita Nyong’o and Salma Hayek among his many accusers, Harvey Weinstein demonstrated the way in which many people respond to women of color claiming victimhood.\textsuperscript{247} Although he only generally denied the many claims from white women, he specifically went out of his way to respond to two women of color.\textsuperscript{248} Similarly, in the revenge porn context, when a group of celebrities was hacked in 2014, there was outrage at the photos, but the names that were mentioned in the media the most were white women’s, despite the fact that women of color were hacked as well.\textsuperscript{249}
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\end{itemize}
According to a study by Georgetown Law’s Center on Poverty and Inequality, in the United States, black girls are perceived as less innocent than white girls. This study indicated that adults in the United States view black girls collectively as more adult than white girls. Responses revealed, in particular, that participants perceived black girls as needing less protection and nurturing than white girls, and that black girls were perceived to know more about adult topics and to be more knowledgeable about sex than their white peers.

This bias toward viewing black girls as less innocent contributes to the discrepancy between public reactions to the victimization of white women and black women. Accordingly, black women’s victimhood status is given less credence, even with nearly identical crimes like the mass celebrity hacking.

Situated in contrast to the innocent virgin, people who engage in sex work also have a clear barrier to overcome to obtain victimhood status. The response to Blac Chyna’s victimization demonstrates how society reacts to people who engage in sex work being victimized through revenge porn. Many who reacted to Chyna’s photos reflected an “erroneous line of thinking: the idea that a sex worker who chooses to reveal her body during work hours should be comfortable with her nude image being publicized in any capacity.” People who engage in sex work should be allowed to control and have agency over their bodies to the same extent as people employed in any other line of work. Revenge porn takes away individual agency over one’s body. Regardless of who the person is, “[t]he choices a woman makes for her body are just that—her choices.” Perpetrators of revenge porn take those choices away from the individual, by sharing a person’s photo without their consent.

The belief that chastity and purity are necessarily intertwined with being a victim excludes many victims from having their victim status accepted.

---

250. Epstein et al., supra note 164, at 2.
251. Id. at 8.
252. See id. at 5 (explaining the hypersexualization of black women).
253. See Miller, supra note 249.
254. Beverly Balos & Mary Louise Fellows, A Matter of Prostitution: Becoming Respectable, 74 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 1220, 1231 (1999) (“When a woman engages in prostitution, however, no questions need be asked. Both society and the law simply presume that the woman provoked, welcomed, and consented to the conduct, given what she was wearing, where she went, and the fact that she received money.”).
255. Blac Chyna is an “entertainer, social media influencer, and entrepreneur.” Complaint, supra note 2, ¶ 19. In her late teens and early twenties, Chyna participated in sex work: “When [she] turned eighteen, Ms. White [Blac Chyna] began performing as an exotic dancer to save money for college. Two years later, Ms. White moved to Miami to attend Johnson and Wales University, where she took classes during the day and performed at the King of Diamonds strip club at night.” Id. ¶ 16.
256. Miller, supra note 249.
257. Id.
258. Id.
259. See id.
260. Id.
261. See Christina E. Wells & Erin Elliott Motley, Reinforcing the Myth of the Crazed Rapist: A
addition, this belief commodifies victims, particularly women, by suggesting that their pain and victimization matter only if it lessened their societal value. 262 This slut-shaming perspective inherently demonizes most victims of revenge porn by suggesting that even if a photo is posted without the victim’s consent, the victimization is not important enough to take seriously. 263

2. Victim Blaming: Why Did You Take the Picture in the First Place?

Victim blaming plays into why victims are not taken seriously. In the context of revenge porn, the ideal victim attribute that considers what the victim was doing when they were victimized asks why the victim took naked pictures at all. 264 This question blames the victim for what was done to them. 265 When Kayla Laws went to police they asked her, “Why would you take a picture like this if you didn’t want it on the Internet?” 266 Victim blaming occurs in the context of revenge porn when victims are blamed for their conduct rather than blaming the person who posted the image. 267 The normalization of victim blaming not only affects public perception of victims but also police’s willingness to assist victims. 268 Police conduct has a direct effect on disclosures. 269 Once police are established as an ineffective resource, victims are less likely to come forward. 270

Feminist Critique of Recent Rape Legislation, 81 B.U. L. Rev. 127, 148–49 (2001) (“At all stages of prosecution, they argued, police, prosecutors, judges, and juries relied on rape myths to discount the possibility that a rape had occurred. Such myths included . . . that only ‘bad’ women are raped, and that women provoke rape through their appearance and behavior.” (footnotes omitted)).

262. See VALENTI, supra note 160, at 30–31 (“Viewing virginity as a commodity—as it was seen back in the days in which daughters were exchanged as property—lives on, just in less obvious ways (though, arguably, much more insidiously). Now fathers participate in purity balls and virginity pledges to maintain ownership over their daughters, even if it’s only symbolic. Women’s sexuality is still very much for sale.”).

263. See Roffer, supra note 68, at 947. Slut-shaming is the act of ridiculing someone for their sexual behaviors, often done in conjunction with blaming a sexual violence victim for the sexual harassment or assault that they experienced. See Wendy N. Hess, Slut-Shaming in the Workplace: Sexual Rumors & Hostile Environment Claims, 40 N.Y.U. Rev. L. & Soc. Change 581, 581 (2016) (“‘Slut-shaming’ is the act of criticizing a woman for her real or perceived sexual promiscuity.”).

264. See Christie, supra note 27, at 18–19.


266. Laws, supra note 33 (“Kayla and I [her mother] went to the Los Angeles Police Department, where we hoped to find sympathy and an ‘eager to help’ attitude. We found neither. A female detective from the cyber-crimes division was more interested in condescending stares and judgmental remarks than taking a report.”).


268. See id.


270. Id. (“When explicit images of the Nederland resident appeared on the geographically searchable website, she didn’t go to the police. ‘I was embarrassed. It’s a small town,’ she says. She’d
Victims of revenge porn are often told by police officers that if they did not want their naked pictures on the internet, then they should not have taken them in the first place.\textsuperscript{271} The mere act of having taken a naked picture delegitimizes victimhood status in the eyes of police officers.\textsuperscript{272} Although it is unsurprising that revenge porn perpetrators pass the blame to the victim,\textsuperscript{273} it is frustrating that police officers, to whom victims go to for help, perpetuate these attitudes.\textsuperscript{274}

When revenge porn victims are blamed for having taken pictures, the blamers are playing into the ideal victimhood requirement that a victim was doing something “respectable” when they were victimized.\textsuperscript{275} There are victims that may be able to survive this requirement. First, there are those who had their faces photoshopped on to other people’s bodies.\textsuperscript{276} The photoshopped victim is nearly parallel to the rape victim who was walking home during the day after participating in a respectable activity.\textsuperscript{277} Someone like Kayla Laws might also receive some leniency in this category, because she was not taking naked pictures for explicitly sexual purposes, but rather she was playacting modeling by herself, with no intention of sharing the pictures.\textsuperscript{278} Her activity may be considered “harmless enough” that she can maintain the requisite respectability to be taken seriously.\textsuperscript{279} The most blameless victim is the victim who was recorded without their consent or knowledge, though they could still be blamed for putting themselves in a situation in which they were recorded.\textsuperscript{280}
Asking if a victim was doing something “respectable” is victim blaming, and this question should be irrelevant.\textsuperscript{281} What someone was doing when they were violated does not change the fact that they were violated. Unfortunately, it does affect how victims are treated, as evidenced by police asking why a victim would take a naked photo if they did not want it shared on the internet.\textsuperscript{282}

3. Where Did the Pictures Come From?

The manner in which perpetrators obtain the victims’ images will also affect the acceptability of revenge porn victims.\textsuperscript{283} The ideal victim attribute that considers if the victim was present somewhere that the victim could not be blamed for being, blames the victim who was not in an innocent place for being in a risky situation.\textsuperscript{284} In the context of revenge porn, this blame rests on where the pictures came from. Although most revenge porn is perpetrated via social media, the internet, or cell phones, the images are not all acquired in the same way or from the same places.\textsuperscript{285} Some photos are posted directly by ex-partners or other acquaintances to whom the images were sent, whereas some are acquired through hacking, and others are digitally created.\textsuperscript{286} As mentioned in the previous Part, those who send their pictures to another person are blamed for having taken and sent the pictures in the first place.\textsuperscript{287} Even if this exchange happened within the context of a trusting relationship, people tend to blame the sender for having sent the picture instead of rightfully blaming the person who betrayed the victim’s trust.\textsuperscript{288} Even when the victim sends the picture at the behest of the poster or in response to threats and abuse, the victim-sender often receives the blame.\textsuperscript{289}

\textsuperscript{281} This is the premise of rape shield in sexual assault cases. \textit{See FED. R. EVID. 412. See supra Part III.C.1 for an explanation of rape shield. See infra Part III.C.2 for an application of rape shield to revenge porn.}

\textsuperscript{282} \textit{Laws, supra note 33.}

\textsuperscript{283} \textit{Cf. Christie, supra note 27, at 19 (explaining that the context of the victimization is relevant to ideal victimhood, in saying that an ideal victim “was where she could not possibly be blamed for being”).}

\textsuperscript{284} \textit{Id.}

\textsuperscript{285} \textit{Id.}

\textsuperscript{286} \textit{On the Media, supra note 30.}

\textsuperscript{287} \textit{Id.}

\textsuperscript{288} \textit{Roffer, supra note 68, at 945–46.}

\textsuperscript{289} \textit{See supra Part III.B.2; see also Roffer, supra note 68, at 945–46 (“By victim blaming, and essentially punishing women for sending these images, the belief that women are ‘sluts’ and should be shamed, whereas men are ‘studs’ and are applauded. Historically, society has ignored harms suffered ‘where women could have ostensibly mitigated the injury.’” (footnotes omitted) (quoting Danielle Keats Citron, \textit{Law’s Expressive Value in Combating Cyber Gender Harassment, 108 MICH. L. REV. 373, 393 (2009)).}
Further, women are blamed for taking naked pictures whether they send them out or not. When a group of celebrities had their computers hacked in 2014, the celebrities were blamed for having naked photos on their computers or in the iCloud. The photoshopped victim is the most likely to be able to fulfill the requirement of not having participated in “blameworthy” behavior, because they neither took naked pictures nor sent them out. A photoshopped victim cannot be blamed for putting themselves at risk, which is a victim-blaming accusation that is used to belittle victims and deprive them of their victim status. The photoshopped victim was not participating in risky conduct, aside from having an internet presence.

The extent to which victims are blamed for having naked pictures raises the question of what a reasonable expectation of privacy is in the technological era. Holding a victim responsible for hacked pictures suggests that using a platform that can be hacked strips a person of their expectation of privacy. This problematic belief explains why the proposed federal revenge porn bill is classified as a privacy law.

4. The “Ideal” Revenge Porn Perpetrator: The Big Bad Stranger

Many victims of revenge porn are victimized by people they know, people they once trusted with their intimate photos. This does not fit the bill of the

---

290. See On the Media, supra note 30.
291. Jill Filipovic, Why You Shouldn’t Look at Those Stolen Naked Celebrity Photos, COSMOPOLITAN (Sept. 2, 2014), http://www.cosmopolitan.com/entertainment/celebs/news/a30667/dont-look-at-stolen-nude-photos [http://perma.cc/297N-BLZX] (“The photos circulated quickly, with tsk-tsking commentators close behind, telling women[] the best way to avoid a criminal hacking into your phone and stealing your private nude photos is to not take nude photos in the first place (see also: don’t go out in a short skirt if you don’t want to be raped). Even better advice might be simply not to exist in a female body.”).
292. See On the Media, supra note 30.
293. See id.
294. See Scott Mendelson, Jennifer Lawrence Nude Photo Leak Isn’t a ‘Scandal.’ It’s a Sex Crime., FORBES (Sep. 1, 2014, 10:05 AM), http://www.forbes.com/sites/scottmendelson/2014/09/01/jennifer-lawrence-nude-photo-leak-isnt-a-scandal-its-a-sex-crime/#45c9aa334238 [http://perma.cc/2WVE-Q4XQ] (“You may argue, without any intended malice, that it may be unwise in this day-and-age to put nude pictures of yourself on a cell phone which can be hacked and/or stolen. But without discounting that statement, the issue is that these women have the absolute right and privilege to put whatever they want on their cell phones with the expectation that said contents will remain private or exclusive to whomever is permitted to see them just like their male peers.”). Similarly, the National Security Agency has access to pictures in cell phones and abuses this access. Alyson Shontell, Edward Snowden Says NSA Workers Pass Around Your Nude Photos, BUS. INSIDER (July 17, 2014, 3:18 PM), http://www.businessinsider.com/edward-snowden-guardian-interview-on-naked-photos-2014-7 [http://perma.cc/2WAE-BWAF].
296. FRANKS, GUIDE FOR LEGISLATORS, supra note 9, at 11 (“Fifty-seven percent of victims said their material was posted by an ex-boyfriend, 6% said it was posted by an ex-girlfriend, 23% said it was posted by an ex-friend, 7% said it was posted by a friend, 7% said it was posted by a family member.”).
297. See id. (“Eighty-three percent of revenge porn victims said they had taken nude
ideal victim theory’s “big bad perpetrator.” 298 When the perpetrator is someone the victim knows, the victim is either blamed for trusting that person or people are quicker to believe that the victim consented to the picture being shared. 299 Even if that consent is not explicit, the suggestion is that the victim tacitly consented. 300 Further, the belief is that since the victim allowed one person to view the picture, that picture is now under the control of the person it was sent to. 301 This is antithetical to a true definition of consent; just because someone consents to share a picture with one person does not mean that they consent to everyone seeing it. 302

When the perpetrator has power, they use it to silence and discredit victims. When Blac Chyna obtained court orders against Rob Kardashian, exposing that his perpetration of revenge porn against her constituted a form of domestic abuse, Rob Kardashian and his family attempted to use their power to silence and discredit her. 303 Chyna’s civil complaint against Rob Kardashian and his family stated:

The unwritten rule no one told [Chyna] when she embarked on a relationship with Mr. Kardashian is: the entire family takes it personally if you leave and will come after you, using their fame, wealth and power to take you down. They will get your television show cancelled. They will go after your endorsement deals. They will condone slut-shaming of you. They will spread lies about you. They will claim you are a bad mother, without evidence. They will even fund a lawsuit about crumbled cookies . . . to get revenge on you for leaving a Kardashian. 304

298. Christie, supra note 27, at 19.
299. See, e.g., Citron & Franks, supra note 202, at 348 (noting that some people reason that sharing photos with particular individuals “should be taken as wide-ranging permission to share them with the public”).
300. See, e.g., Laws, supra note 33 (“Why would you take a picture like this if you didn’t want it on the Internet?”).
301. See Amanda Levenson, Comment, Using Copyright To Combat Revenge Porn, 3 N.Y.U. J. INTELL. PROP. & ENT. L. 422, 439–40 (2014) (“When Hunter Moore was asked whether the images he posted on IsAnyoneUp violated copyright laws, he offered this fascinatingly misguided explanation: ‘[B]ut when you take a picture of yourself in the mirror, it was intended for somebody else so, actually, the person you sent the picture to actually owns that picture, because it was intended as a gift.’” (alteration in original) (quoting On the Media: Revenge Porn’s Latest Frontier, WNYC (Dec. 2, 2011), http://www.wnyc.org/story/173718-revenge-porns-latest-frontier/?tab=transcript [http://perma.cc/PV9T-AK77])).
302. See Amanda L. Cecil, Comment, Taking Back the Internet: Imposing Civil Liability on Interactive Computer Services in an Attempt To Provide an Adequate Remedy to Victims of Nonconsensual Pornography, 71 WASH. & LEE L. REV. 2513, 2520 (2014) (“The victim willingly provides the photos with the trust and confidence that they remain within the boundaries of the romantic relationship; however, once the relationship ends—perhaps on hateful terms—the partner holding the images seeks ‘revenge’ by posting the material online.”).
303. See Complaint, supra note 2, ¶ 2.
304. Id. ¶¶ 2–3 (footnotes omitted). In mentioning a “lawsuit about crumbled cookies,” Chyna is referencing that Rob Kardashian and Kylie Jenner sued Chyna for damage to a gingerbread house. Id. ¶ 2.
This demonstrates the devastating ways that powerful perpetrators can silence victims and continue to victimize them.

Many perpetrators of revenge porn are anonymous. Even if the perpetrator is someone the victim knows, it is hard to prove the identity of perpetrators online. This anonymity creates a complicated dynamic in which it is the victim’s word against an unknown person. The unknown perpetrator is neither explicitly sympathetic and believable nor menacing. Without a known perpetrator, the victim’s credibility will be based more heavily on the victim’s individual believability and ability to fit the ideal victim mold.

5. Who Has Enough Power To Claim Victim Status?

There is an impossible constraint on how independent an individual must be in order to have enough power to be taken seriously but not so much power that they are blamed for not protecting themselves. That the victim is required to have enough power and agency to report their victimization is not unique to revenge porn. Harvey Weinstein’s victims demonstrate this tension. They were victimized when they first arrived on the acting scene, at a time when they did not have the social power to fight him off. Time has passed and many of these women have made names for themselves, such that they are now in a position in which their allegations are heard, believed, and validated. Victims should not have to wait until they have established independent power in order to receive help and support. The requisite power to get help is both enough power that the victim is found credible and also enough power that the police or other authorities care enough to help.

In instances when revenge porn victims seem to have had the power to get their voices heard, they tend to have had the support of prominent attorneys.

305. Franks, Unwilling Avatars, supra note 71, at 229 (explaining that online harassment “is promulgated by users who overwhelmingly self-identify as male, though most remain anonymous beyond that”).
306. Id. at 227 (“In most cases of cyberspace harassment, the perpetrators use pseudonyms . . . .” (footnote omitted)).
308. Id. at 21 (“The more women gain independence, materially, the less credibility is given to any claim of victim-status as a result of weakness or lack of possibilities for self-protection.”).
310. Kantor & Twohey, supra note 191. Lauren O’Connor, a former employee of Harvey Weinstein, wrote: “I am a 28 year old woman trying to make a living and a career. Harvey Weinstein is a 64 year old, world famous man and this is his company. The balance of power is me: 0, Harvey Weinstein: 10.” Id.
311. Vagianos, supra note 167.
312. Christie, supra note 27, at 21 (“A minimum of strength is a precondition to being listened to, but sufficient strength to threaten others would not be a good base for creating the type of general and public sympathy that is associated with the status of being a victim.”).
313. See, e.g., Kaitlin Menza, How I Get It Done: Lisa Bloom, CUT (Aug. 29, 2017),
In an interview on *The View*, Mischa Barton discussed how lucky she had been to have civil rights activist attorney Lisa Bloom fighting for her.\(^{314}\) Not everyone has an attorney like Bloom fighting for them.\(^{315}\) In the interview, Lisa Bloom discussed potential actions victims of revenge porn can take and encouraged even those who do not have an attorney to seek legal recourse.\(^{316}\) Ideally, victims of revenge porn should be able to take that advice, if they want to; however, it is important to recognize that doing so is difficult when victims of revenge porn are largely met with skepticism and blame.\(^{317}\)

C. *Proposal*

The interplay between what is acceptable in society and what is acceptable in the courtroom demonstrates the catch-22 of believability politics. Police and prosecutors are more likely to take victims seriously if society takes them seriously, and society is more likely to believe victims if their victim status is certified by arrests and prosecutions.\(^{318}\)

Because of this vicious cycle, it is important for actors in the legal system to proactively support and believe victims of revenge porn. One way to push prosecutors and police officers in the right direction is by adding a rule of evidence that protects victims from having their credibility called into question based on stereotypes.

1. *Rape Shield*

On a federal level, the term “rape shield” refers to Federal Rule of Evidence 412,\(^{319}\) which provides special protections for victims of sex crimes.\(^{320}\) This rule prevents the admission of evidence offered in both civil and criminal trials to prove that a victim engaged in sexual behavior or that a victim had a particular sexual predisposition.\(^{321}\) The rule ensures that mere propensity evidence—evidence that suggests that because someone consented to past sexual

\(^{314}\) *The View*, supra note 313, at 6:15.

\(^{315}\) *See Menza*, supra note 313 (discussing Bloom’s firm’s “elaborate vetting process”).

\(^{316}\) *The View*, supra note 313, at 4:45 (“[Y]ou can go into court and get domestic violence restraining orders, which is what I did for Mischa . . . and anybody can do that.”).

\(^{317}\) *See infra* Part II.G.

\(^{318}\) *See Christie*, supra note 27, at 21; Vagianos, supra note 167. *See supra* notes 194–99 and accompanying text for a discussion of how society’s view of the ideal victim influences prosecutors’ decisions of whether to pursue criminal charges for revenge porn.


\(^{320}\) *Id.*
encounters they must have consented to the interaction in question—is inadmissible at trial.322 According to the advisory committee notes, the purpose of this evidentiary rule is “to safeguard the alleged victim against the invasion of privacy, potential embarrassment and sexual stereotyping that is associated with public disclosure of intimate sexual details and the infusion of sexual innuendo into the factfinding process.”323 With this rule, the committee intended to “encourage[] victims of sexual misconduct to institute and to participate in legal proceedings against alleged offenders.”324 In amendments made in 1994, the rule was extended beyond cases with sex crime charges to all criminal cases, meaning that the crime charged does not specifically need to be a sex crime for the rape shield rule to apply.325

In early common law, prior to rape shield, a woman’s sexual history or the fact that she was “unchaste” was considered relevant evidence to determine the woman’s credibility and whether she consented to the alleged rape.326 The belief that a woman’s credibility could be determined by her sexual choices was widely accepted in society and in the courtroom.327 It was believed that if a woman was unchaste, then it was more likely she would be willing to lie under oath.328 In addition to being seen as a reflection of a woman’s character for truthfulness, her sexual history was used to suggest that she was more likely to consent to sex.329 As explained by the Illinois Supreme Court, “In order to show the probability of consent, the general reputation of prosecutrix for immorality and unchastity is of extreme importance and may be shown.”330 The introduction of rape shield has prevented the factfinder from drawing credibility inferences from a victim’s sexual background.331

322. Id.
323. FED. R. EVID. 412 advisory committee’s note to 1994 amendments.
324. Id.
325. Id. (“The reason for extending the rule to all criminal cases is obvious. The strong social policy of protecting a victim’s privacy and encouraging victims to come forward to report criminal acts is not confined to cases that involve a charge of sexual assault. The need to protect the victim is equally great when a defendant is charged with kidnapping, and evidence is offered, either to prove motive or as background, that the defendant sexually assaulted the victim.”); MILLER, supra note 226, at 2 (“Rule 412(a) applies not only in rape or sexual assault cases but also in other cases, including sexual harassment cases.”).
326. See, e.g., Michelle J. Anderson, Time To Reform Rape Shield Laws: Kobe Bryant Case Highlights Holes in the Armor, CRIM. JUST., Summer 2004, at 14, 14 [hereinafter Anderson, Time To Reform Rape Shield Laws]. The same standard did not apply to men, as the Missouri Supreme Court stated in 1895: “It is a matter of common knowledge that the bad character of a man for chastity does not even in the remotest degree affect his character for truth, when based upon that alone, while it does that of a woman,” State v. Sibley, 33 S.W. 167, 171 (Mo. 1895).
328. Id.; see, e.g., Calhoun v. State, 214 S.W. 335, 339 (Tex. Crim. App. 1919) ("[T]hat she was in the habit of bestowing carnal favors indiscriminately upon men . . . would certainly have had a very strong bearing upon her credibility as a witness in the case . . . .").
331. See FED. R. EVID. 412.
2. Applying Rape Shield to Victims of Revenge Porn: The Need for a Revenge-Porn-Specific Rule

Applying rape shield laws to revenge porn cases would prevent defendants from exploiting a complainant’s sexual history.\(^{332}\) This application would prevent a defendant from arguing that because an individual sent a naked picture or took a naked picture in the past, that they must have consented to the publication of the image in question.\(^{333}\) Applying rape shield would also prevent prior acts of victims that took, sent, or posted their intimate image in the past from being used to question the character and veracity of the victim.\(^{334}\) Just because someone has posted a naked picture in the past does not create a presumption of consent to widespread sharing of images in the future. A past history of posting naked pictures does not prevent someone from being victimized and does not take away their right to have agency over their bodies, their pictures, and their right to consent to which pictures are posted of them.

Rape shield does have exceptions. The federal rape shield statute allows defendants to introduce a victim’s past sexual history in order to prove their defense that the victim consented.\(^{335}\) The defendant can introduce instances in which the defendant and the victim engaged in consensual sex.\(^{336}\) This is one particularity that prevents the rape shield rule from being an exact fit for a revenge porn proceeding. For the victim’s consent to be relevant in a revenge porn case it would have to tend to show that the victim consented to the photo’s dissemination, not just to the photo being sent to the poster.\(^{337}\) Consenting to having your photo publicly posted on social media does not follow from sharing a picture with an individual in the context of a relationship. There might be different exceptions that should apply, but the exceptions to rape shield are not

\(^{332}\) Cf. id. (preventing the same in the context of sex crimes).

\(^{333}\) Part of the purpose of rape shield is to prevent the use of past victim conduct as propensity evidence. See id. In the context of rape this prevents someone from claiming that because a victim has consented to sex with other partners in the past, they are more likely to have consented to the “sex” involved in the rape allegation. See id.

\(^{334}\) See id.

\(^{335}\) FED. R. EVID. 412(b)(1)(B).

\(^{336}\) Id. On a state level, each state has its own rape shield law, with a variety of exceptions, including, but not limited to,

- the admission of evidence of prior sexual conduct between the complainant and the accused;
- evidence of an alternative source of semen, pregnancy, or injury;
- evidence of a pattern of prior sexual conduct by the complainant;
- evidence of bias or motive to fabricate the sexual assault;
- evidence offered to prove that the accused had a reasonable but mistaken belief in the complainant’s consent; and
- evidence of prior false accusations of sexual assault by the complainant.

Anderson, From Chastity Requirement to Sexual License, supra note 15, at 81–86 (footnotes omitted) (noting also, in 2002, that Arizona was the only state that did not have “a rape shield law of any kind”); see also ARIZ. REV STAT. ANN. § 13-1421 (West 2019) (Arizona’s since-enacted rape shield law).

\(^{337}\) Pangaro, supra note 205, at 187 (“The most common—and legally complex—cases involve a person pictured in the media who is over eighteen, consented to participation in the media, and then allowed another person to take possession of the images.”).
Although rape shield could be expanded to apply to revenge porn, revenge porn needs its own revenge-porn-specific evidentiary shield.

3. Drafting a Revenge-Porn-Specific Evidentiary Shield

Members of Congress are working toward providing a legal framework that will support and protect victims of revenge porn by introducing a bill that will criminalize revenge porn at the federal level. Although many states have passed revenge porn laws, a federal law will create consistency, protect those in states without criminal revenge porn laws, and communicate to perpetrators, victims, and society as a whole that dissemination of intimate images without a person’s consent is not only unacceptable, it is a crime.

The purpose of a revenge-porn-specific rule of evidence would be to add legitimacy to revenge porn prosecutions, protect victims from revictimization within criminal justice proceedings, and instruct judges on how to rule on evidentiary matters within revenge porn cases.

The following is my proposal for a revenge-porn-specific rule of evidence.

(a) Definitions.—In this section:

(1) Dissemination. The term “dissemination” or “disseminate” includes enabling access to by posting, sharing, sending, or otherwise making public.

(2) Intimate Image. The term “intimate image” includes any visual representation (including photographs and videos), in original or modified format, in which—

(A) the individual is engaging in sexually explicit conduct; or

(B) naked genitals or female postpubescent nipple of the individual are visible.

(b) Prohibited Uses of Other Acts. The following evidence is not admissible in a civil or criminal proceeding involving alleged dissemination of an intimate image without consent:


340. Franks, Why We Need a Federal Criminal Law Response, supra note 146 (“A federal criminal law on non-consensual pornography would also have the salutary effect of providing a model for state laws.”).

341. Id. (“State laws, while important, have limited jurisdiction. The fact that one or even many states might criminalize non-consensual pornography will not help a person who is victimized in a state that does not. The Internet has greatly facilitated the capacity to commit interstate crimes, and the only way to reach such crimes is through federal law.”).

342. This definition of “Intimate Image” is based on the definition of “intimate visual depiction” in the ENOUGH Act. See S. 2162. Although I feel that the use of the term “female” in this definition is limiting and excludes people of other genders within the language of the bill, I am trying to maintain some parallelism with the proposed federal statute. My intention is that this rule of evidence would reinforce the federal law, if and when it is passed.
(1) evidence offered to prove that a victim engaged in other sexual behavior; or
(2) evidence offered to prove that a victim engaged in consensual intimate image sharing.

(3) EXCEPTION. In criminal cases, the court may admit the following evidence in a criminal case: evidence showing that the victim did consent to the image in question’s dissemination, including consenting to the intended audience, within fourteen days prior to the posting.

(d) LIMITATIONS ON USES OF PHOTOGRAPHIC AND VIDEO EVIDENCE. Photographs and video that were disseminated in relation to a revenge porn prosecution or civil suit may be admitted subject to the following conditions:
(1) The prosecution or complainant may enter photographs or videos into evidence.
(2) The defendant may enter photographs into evidence if they are doing so
   (A) in order to support a claim or defense that the photograph or video does not meet the definition of an intimate image under (a)(2); and
   (B) the judge determines that reasonable jurors could differ as to whether the photo meets the definition.

4. Intended Effect of the Rule

The purpose of an evidentiary rule specific to revenge porn is multifaceted. First, in tandem with a federal law, an evidentiary rule would communicate to revenge porn victims that there are protections in place for them, encouraging those who want to report their offenders to do so because they will have support throughout the process.343 It could protect victims from having their personal and intimate images shared in the course of trial proceedings. This limitation could thereby also limit jurors’ ability to inappropriately resort to victim blaming in their deliberations, by excluding evidence of irrelevant past conduct.

Second, it would communicate to prosecutors and police officers that revenge porn is a serious offense that should be approached with care. Although there are federal law enforcement agencies backing the federal revenge porn bill,344 victims of revenge porn have been met with both hesitancy and ridicule from law enforcement when trying to report and press charges.345 As police officers have demonstrated susceptibility to buying into ideal victim

---

343. Cf. O’Connor, supra note 230 (explaining that the police’s dismissive responses to some victims discouraged reporting by others).
344. Office of Congresswoman Jackie Speier, supra at note 150, at 3.
345. See, e.g., Citron & Franks, supra note 202, at 366–67 (explaining that police officers are dismissive and blame victims); Laws, supra note 33 (recollecting that police were apathetic and judgmental); O’Connor, supra note 230 (explaining that victims said that their experience with the police was unhelpful, which discouraged other victims from reporting).
requirements, communicating an intention to take revenge porn seriously could encourage police officers to be less dismissive of victims.346

This evidentiary rule would also require judges to take victim protection seriously in revenge porn cases. Judges have great discretion to determine which evidence is probative and which is prejudicial.347 Without a revenge-porn-specific evidentiary rule, a judge’s decision regarding which evidence to admit and which to exclude is governed by the broad and highly discretionary Federal Rule of Evidence 403.348 Rule 403 is a catchall provision that grants judges discretion to exclude prejudicial evidence.349 The admission of explicit photographs and screenshots of explicit text messages could be limited under this rule; however, although this rule allows judges to exclude relevant evidence, reliance solely on this rule rests a victim’s fate on the judge’s broad discretion in determining if such evidence is prejudicial.350

The proposed evidentiary rule would guide judges and flip Rule 403’s burden. Rule 403 states that a judge “may exclude relevant evidence if its probative value is substantially outweighed by a danger of . . . unfair prejudice.”351 Exclusion of intimate images under Rule 403 hinges on the judge’s discretion and a showing (1) that there is prejudice, (2) that the prejudice is unfair, and (3) that the unfair prejudice is substantially greater than the probative value of the evidence.352 Although this is a rule of exclusion, it grants the judge great discretion and weighs the balance in favor of admission.353 The proposed rule is not a way in which evidence may be excluded but a way in which the evidence may be included. This requires evidence to satisfy a number of factors in order to be admitted, creating a presumption of exclusion. This is in contrast with Rule 403, which requires evidence to satisfy a number of factors in order to be excluded, creating a presumption of inclusion.354

Excluding intimate images and references to past sexual activities from revenge porn prosecutions prevents destructive narratives based on the ideal victim theory from influencing jurors.355 Preventing references to victims’ sexual backgrounds limits jurors’ abilities to judge a victim based on the victim’s innocence or purity.356 Unfortunately, this rule does not control for all ideal

346. See supra Part II.G for an explanation of police failings with respect to revenge porn victims.

347. See, e.g., United States v. Poole, 929 F.2d 1476, 1482 (10th Cir. 1991) (“The trial court has broad discretion to determine whether prejudice inherent in otherwise relevant evidence outweighs its probative value.”).

348. FED. R. EVID. 403.

349. See id.

350. See, e.g., Poole, 929 F.2d at 1482 (noting the court’s broad discretion).

351. FED. R. EVID. 403 (emphases added).

352. See id.

353. See, e.g., Poole, 929 F.2d at 1482 (noting the court’s broad discretion).

354. See FED. R. EVID. 403.

355. See supra Part III.A for a discussion of how a victim’s past behavior and other circumstances influence whether society validates the victim’s status as a victim.

356. See supra Part III.B.1 for a discussion of an ideal revenge porn victim being pure or innocent and examples of using victims’ past behaviors as evidence of consent.
victim factors. For example, it is still a reality that women of color are perceived as less innocent than white women.\textsuperscript{357} This is an issue that is directly related to the biases that this rule seeks to prevent, but it is beyond the scope of what an evidentiary rule can control.

IV. CONCLUSION

Revenge porn victims deserve protection, and they deserve justice. The ideal victim theory highlights the ways in which society blames victims for crimes committed against them. This theory acutely applies to victims of revenge porn, who are consistently met with hesitation and hostility from police officers, prosecutors, and society. As federal legislators work to criminalize revenge porn on a national level, other actors both within and outside of the justice system need to support those efforts by understanding that only the perpetrators of revenge porn are to blame for its proliferation. There is no ideal victim. Victims that do not meet society’s expectations for what makes a victim deserve a voice and deserve respect to the same extent as those who come closer to fitting the ideal victim mold. Criminalization of revenge porn and the application of a revenge-porn-shield evidentiary rule to protect victims are important beginning steps to ensure that the legal system addresses this critical issue. Realistically, the understanding of revenge porn as a sex crime that deserves attention requires progress from both the legal sphere and society, but creating a revenge porn evidentiary rule is a step in the right direction.

\textsuperscript{357} See \textit{supra} notes 245–53 and accompanying text for a discussion of how a victim’s race affects whether society will view the victim as a true victim.